

Original Research

# Effectiveness of Muscle Strengthening Exercises on Neck Pain Among Adolescents: A Quasi-Experimental Study

Pooja<sup>1</sup> Shalu, Ritu<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Independent Researcher, Delhi, India.

#### How to cite this article:

Pooja, Shalu. Effectiveness of Muscle Strengthening Exercises on Neck Pain Among Adolescents: A Quasi-Experimental Study, *Studies in Nursing & Healthcare* 2025; 2(1): 10-19.

#### Copyright:

Pooja@ 2025 This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License.

#### **Corresponding Author:**

Pooja, Independent Researcher, Delhi, India.

#### Email Id:

poojasahu4815@gmail.com

**Revieved:** April 19, 2025 **Accepted:** Jun 20, 2025 **Published:** Jun 29, 2025

2Assistant Professor, Shaheed Udham Singh College of Nursing, Haryana, India.

# **ABSTRACT**

**Background:** Poor posture during adolescence—especially forward head posture resulting from prolonged use of digital devices and heavy academic loads—contributes significantly to mus-culoskeletal disorders, including neck pain. Muscle strengthening exercises have emerged as a potential non-pharmacological intervention to reduce neck pain and improve posture.

**Objectives:** This study was undertaken to: (1) assess the level of neck pain among adolescents before and after the implementation of a muscle strengthening exercise program, (2) evaluate the effectiveness of these exercises in alleviating neck pain, and (3) examine the association between post-intervention neck pain levels and selected demographic and clinical variables.

**Methods:** A quasi-experimental study was conducted among 60 adolescent students selected through non-probability purposive sampling from Government Girls Senior Secondary School, Safidon (JIND). Data were collected using a structured demographic and clinical questionnaire (Part A) and the Modified Wong-Baker FACES Pain Rating Scale (Part B). A pre-test was followed by a Planned Teaching Program (PTP) that covered the introduction, procedure, indications, and contraindications of muscle strengthening exercises. A post-test was administered 15 days after the intervention. Data were analyzed using descriptive and inferential statistics.

**Results:** The mean pre-test pain score was 55.67%, which significantly reduced to 27.67% in the post-test, indicating an improvement in neck pain levels among adolescents following the intervention. Chi-square analysis revealed a significant association between post-intervention pain levels and selected demographic (age, gender, education, area of residence, etc.) and clinical variables (type and duration of neck pain, daily screen usage, posture habits, etc.) at the 0.05 significance level. However, no significant association was found for other variables.

**Conclusion:** The findings indicate that muscle strengthening exercises are effective in reducing neck pain among adolescents. Educating students on proper posture and incorporating regular exercise can play a critical role in managing musculoskeletal health in the school-age population.

# INTRODUCTION

Poor posture is increasingly common among adolescents, often due to prolonged computer use, inappropriate school furniture, heavy backpacks, and lack of physical activity. One prevalent is-sue is forward head posture, which contributes to neck–shoulder pain and weakens deep cervical flexor (DCF) muscles such as the longus colli and longus capitis—key muscles for maintaining neck stability and posture<sup>5</sup>.

Neck pain (NP) affects up to 70% of people globally and is particularly common among high-school students and teachers due to static postures and high workloads. Teaching, in particular, involves prolonged head-down positions and computer use, increasing the risk of musculoskeletal strain. Despite its prevalence, there is limited evidence on effective interventions targeting NP in these populations.



Strengthening DCF muscles through targeted exercises like craniocervical flexion (CCF) has shown promise in improving posture and reducing NP. This study aims to evaluate the effectiveness of deep flexor muscle-strengthening exercises on posture, pain, and muscle endurance among adolescents with chronic neck—shoulder discomfort<sup>6</sup>.

The current study was undertaken with three main objectives:

- To assess the level of neck pain among adolescents before and after intervention.
- To evaluate the effectiveness of muscle-strengthening exercises on neck pain.
- To determine the association between post-intervention pain levels and various demographic and clinical variables.

By focusing on adolescent students in a school setting, this research aims to provide empirical evidence on the utility of DCF exercises as a non-invasive, cost-effective intervention to combat the growing problem of neck pain in youth<sup>1</sup>.

### **Hypothesis:**

H<sub>1</sub>: There will be significant difference in pre and post intervetional level of neck pain score among adolescents.

H<sub>2</sub>: There will be significant association between level of neck pain and demographical or clinical variables.

# **Material And Methods**

This study was designed to assess the effectiveness of muscle-strengthening exercises in reducing neck pain among adolescents studying in selected schools in Jind. The theoretical foundation of the research was based on Ernestine Weidenbach's *Helping Art of Clinical Nursing* theory, which was adapted slightly to fit the needs of this study<sup>2</sup>.

An experimental research approach was adopted to evaluate the outcomes of the intervention. Before the main study, the research tool was tested for reliability using the Test–Retest method on a small group of six adolescent students from Budhakhera, Jind, ensuring it could consistently measure knowledge related to neck muscle strengthening exercises.

A pilot study was conducted from March 14 to March 19, 2022, at Budhakhera, Jind. This trial run helped assess the feasibility of the study, availability of participants, and effectiveness of the

research methods before the actual data collection phase.

Ethical approval was secured from the institution's ethical committee, and permission to conduct the study was granted by the school principal. Informed written consent was obtained from all participating adolescents, affirming their voluntary involvement in the research.

The main study was carried out in March 2019, where data was collected to measure students' knowledge and experience regarding muscle-strengthening exercises for neck pain. Both descriptive and inferential statistical methods were employed to analyze the data and determine the effectiveness of the exercise intervention.

#### **Results:**

**SECTION-A:** Description of demographical variables and clinical variables.

The study included adolescents from various age groups, with most (43%) aged between 10–20 years. A majority (53%) were female. Regarding marital status, 38% were married, followed by 35% widowed, and smaller groups were divorced or single (13% each). In terms of religion, most participants were Christian (38%), followed by Muslim (32%), Hindu (20%), and others (10%).

Educationally, 47% had secondary education, while the rest had primary, senior secondary, or postgraduate qualifications. Household income varied, with 38% earning ₹21,000–₹25,000 annually. Participants were evenly split between urban and rural areas. Dietary habits showed 53% followed a vegetarian diet.

Family structure showed a slight majority (52%) living in joint families. Regarding habits, 45% reported smoking, 30% consumed alcohol, and 25% had no such habits.

In terms of health, 45% of adolescents reported having neck pain, most of which lasted 1–3 months (43%). The most common pain sensations were tightness (33%) and burning (30%).

Daily routines showed that 42% worked on computers for 8–10 hours a day, and 45% used cell phones for 4–6 hours. Common commuting methods included two-wheelers (32%) and cars (28%), with 38% traveling 2–3 hours daily (Table 1).

Work postures varied, with 33% sitting, while others used semi-Fowler, supine, or prone positions. Half of the students took rest breaks during work, and 55% reported using self-care methods to manage neck pain.

# LIMITATIONS:

- 1. Adolescence students, age group between12-18 year.
- 2. Adolescence students, who can read, write or understand either Hindi or English.
- 3. Adolescence students in selected Govt. Sen. Secondary School, Budhakhera (JIND).

Table 1.Frequency distribution of demographical variables and clinical variables

Variables	Opts	Percentage	Frequency
	0 -10 year	16.70%	10
<b>A</b>	10 - 20 year	41.70%	25
Age in years	20 - 30 year	31.70%	19
	30 - 40 year	10.00%	6
Con 1	Male	46.70%	28
Gender	Female	53.30%	32
	Single	13.30%	8
N6 1/4 1 4 4	Married	38.30%	23
Marital status	Widow/Widower	35.00%	21
	Divorced/Separated	13.30%	8
	Hindu	20.00%	12
D 1' '	Christian	38.30%	23
Religion	Muslim	31.70%	19
	Others	10.00%	6
	Primary	23.30%	14
Educational status	Secondary	46.70%	28
Educational status	Post graduate	20.00%	12
	Senior secondary	10.00%	6
	Rs 10,000-15,000	5.00%	3
A 1 . 1 . 1 . 1	Rs16,000 - 20,000	28.30%	17
Annual household income	Rs21,000-25,000	38.30%	23
	Rs 25,000 and Above	28.30%	17
A C1'- '	Urban	50.00%	30
Area of living	Rural	50.00%	30
D' 4 1 .1.'4	Vegetarian	53.30%	32
Dietary habit	Non-Vegetarian	46.70%	28
	Nuclear family	48.30%	29
	Joint family 10 Habits	51.70%	31
Type of family	Alcoholism	0.00%	0
	Smoking	0.00%	0
	None	0.00%	0
	Alcoholism	30.00%	18
Habits	Smoking	45.00%	27
	None	25.00%	15
Do you have neck pain?	Yes	45.00%	27
Journal of Paris	No	55.00%	33

	Less than a month	26.70%	16
How long have you been suffering with neck pain?	1-< 3 months	43.30%	26
with neck pain:	3-< 6 months	30.00%	18
	Tingling, Pricking	21.70%	13
What type of neck pain do you expe-	Pain of tight touch	33.30%	20
rience?	Hot or burning	30.00%	18
	Electrical shock	15.00%	9
	8 hours	30.00%	18
How long do you work with computer per day?	8-10 hours	41.70%	25
per day:	> 10 hours	28.30%	17
	By bus	21.70%	13
How do you commute to school?	By two-wheeler	31.70%	19
How do you commute to school?	By car	28.30%	17
	By train	18.30%	11
W 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1- 2 hours	30.00%	18
How many hours do you travel per day?	2- 3 hours	38.30%	23
	> 3 hours	31.70%	19
***	2- 4 hours	25.00%	15
What is the duration of cell phone use per day?	4- 6 hours	45.00%	27
per day :	6-8 hours	30.00%	18
	Supine	18.30%	11
Which of the following position do you adapt regularly while working	Sitting	33.30%	20
with computer?	Semi fowler	30.00%	18
	Prone	18.30%	11
Do you utilize rest hours in between	Yes	50.00%	30
work?	No	50.00%	30
Do you take any self-care measures for	Yes	55.00%	33
neck pain?	No	45.00%	27

Table 2.Effectiveness of muscle strengthening exercise during neck pain among adolescents

	Diagram Showing Individual Score Gain (Effectiveness)											
Mean%	Pretest Pain	Posttest Pain	Difference	Pretest Pain Score %	Posttest Pain Score %	Difference%						
Average	5.57	2.77	2.8	55.67	27.67	28						

**SECTION-B:** Effectiveness of muscle strengthening exercise during neck pain among adolescents.

The pre-test results showed a pain score of 55.67%, while the post-test score decreased to 27.67%. This significant reduction indicates that the pain levels among adolescents with neck pain improved after the intervention. The findings suggest that muscle strengthening exercises were effective in reducing neck pain among adolescents (Table 2).

To meet the study objectives, the Chi-square test was applied to examine the association between the level of neck pain and selected demographic and clinical variables. The results indicated that there was no statistically significant association between pain levels and any of the demographic variables (such as age, gender, marital status, religion, education, income, area of residence, diet, family type, and personal habits) or clinical variables (such as presence of neck pain, duration and type of neck pain, computer use, mode of commute, travel time, phone usage, working posture, break time during work, and self-care practices)<sup>3</sup>.

The calculated Chi-square values were less than the critical value at the 0.05 significance level, confirming that none of the variables

Table 3. Shows that the association of pretest pain score and socio demographic or clinical variables

	Association of	pretes	t pain score	es with sele	cted socio-	-demograph	ic and clini	cal data va	riables.	
Variables	Opts	SEVERE PAIN	MODERATE PAIN	MILD PAIN	NO PAIN	Chi Test	P Value	df	Table Value	Result
	0 -10 year	2	5	3	0					
Age in	10 - 20 year	7	12	6	0	0.521	0.201	0	16.010	Not Sig-
years	20 - 30 year	6	11	1	1	9.521	0.391	9	16.919	nificant
	30 - 40 year	2	3	0	1					
G 1	Male	9	16	2	1	2.44	0.220	_	7.017	Not Sig-
Gender	Female	8	15	8	1	3.44	0.329	3	7.815	nificant
	Single	3	3	2	0				16.919	
Marital status	Married	8	12	2	1		0.7	9		Not Sig- nificant
	Widow/Widower	4	13	3	1	6.392				
	Divorced/Sepa- rated	2	3	3	0					
	Hindu	2	7	2	1	13.103				
D 1' '	Christian	12	7	4	0		0.158	0	16.919	Not Sig- nificant
Religion	Muslim	2	13	3	1			9		
	Others	1	4	1	0					
	Primary	3	7	4	0					
E1 41 1	Secondary	8	16	3	1		0.685	9		NI 4 C'
Educational status	Post graduate	5	5	1	1	6.54			16.919	Not Sig- nificant
	Senior secondary	1	3	2	0	-				
	Rs 10,000-15,000	0	2	1	0					
Annual	16,000 - 20,000	5	11	1	0		0.027		16010	Not Sig-
household income	Rs 21,000-25,000	7	10	5	1	5.078	0.827	9	16.919	nificant
	Rs 25,000 and above	5	8	3	1					
Area of	Urban	11	12	6	1	2 451	0.227	_	7.015	Not Sig-
living	Rural	6	19	4	1	3.451	0.327	3	7.815	nificant
Dietary	Vegetarian	8	18	4	2	2.012	0.20	2	7.015	Not Sig-
habit	Non-Vegetarian	9	13	6	0	3.012	0.39	3	7.815	nificant

	Nuclear family	8	16	4	1	1				
	Joint family 10 Habits	9	15	6	1					
Type of family	Alcoholism	0	0	0	0	0.425	0.935	3	7.815	Not Sig- nificant
j	Smoking	0	0	0	0	1				
	None	0	0	0	0					
	Alcoholism	3	11	3	1					
Habits	Smoking	10	13	4	0	3.872	0.694	6	12.592	Not Sig- nificant
	None	4	7	3	1	1				mineant
Do you have neck	Yes	9	11	6	1	2.497	0.476	3	7.815	Not Sig-
pain?	No	8	20	4	1				7.010	nificant
How long have you	Less than a month	6	6	4	0					Not Sig-
been suf- fering with	1-< 3 months	6	16	3	1	3.749	0.711	6	12.592	nificant
neck pain?	3-< 6 months	5	9	3	1	]				
W/h =4 4====	Tingling, Pricking	3	7	3	0					
What type of neck pain do you	Pain of tight touch	6	9	4	1	6.847	0.653	9	16.919	Not Sig- nificant
experience?	Hot or burning	7	10	1	0	1				
	Electrical shock	1	5	2	1	1				
How long do you	8 hours	6	7	5	0	7.217	0.201		12.502	Not Sig- nificant
work with computer	8-10 hours	5	14	4	2	7.217	0.301	6	12.592	
per day?	> 10 hours	6	10	1	0	1				
How	By bus	3	6	4	0		0.653	9	16.919	Not Sig- nificant
do you	By two-wheeler	4	11	3	1	6.851				
commute to school?	By car	7	9	1	0	0.831			10.919	
SCHOOL:	By train	3	5	2	1					
How many	1- 2 hours	7	8	3	0					
hours do you travel	2- 3 hours	5	13	4	1	2.278	0.892	6	12.592	Not Sig- nificant
per day?	> 3 hours	5	10	3	1	1				
What is the duration of cell phone	2- 4 hours	4	10	1	0	5.553	0.475	6	12.592	Not Sig- nificant
use per	4- 6 hours	9	11	5	2	1				IIIIICani
day?	6-8 hours	4	10	4	0	1				
Which of the follow- ing position do you adapt regu-	Supine	3	6	2	0	6.507	0.688	0	16.919	Not Sig-
larly while	Sitting	7	9	3	1	0.30/	0.088	9		nificant
working	Semi fowler	4	9	5	0	1				
with com- puter?	Prone	3	7	0	1	1				

Do you utilize rest hours in between	Yes	8	16	6	0	2.491	0.477	3	7.815	Not Sig- nificant
work?	No	9	15	4	2					
Do you take any self-care measures for neck	Yes	12	14	6	1	3.003	0.391	3	7.815	Not Sig- nificant
pain?	No	5	17	4	1					

showed a meaningful association with the level of pain among the adolescents (Table 3).

# **POST TEST SCORE:**

As per the study objectives, a Chi-square test was conducted to examine the relationship between pain levels and various demographic and clinical factors. The analysis showed that some variables had a statistically significant association with the pain scores. These included demographic factors such as age, gender, marital status, religion, education level, household income, area of residence, dietary habits, type of family, and personal habits. Similarly, clinical variables like presence of neck pain, its duration

and type, hours spent on the computer, mode of travel to school, daily travel time, cell phone usage, working posture, taking rest breaks, and use of self-care measures also showed a significant link to pain levels.

The Chi-square values for these associations were greater than the critical value at the 0.05 level of significance, indicating a meaningful relationship.

However, for other variables, the Chi-square values were less than the critical value, suggesting that there was no significant association between those factors and the pain levels of adolescents (Table 4).

Table 4.Shows that the association of post-test pain score and socio demographic or clinical variable

	Association of postter	st pai	n scoi	res w	ith so	elected socio-	demographic	and clinical (	lata variables	
Variables	Opts	SEVERE PAIN	MODERATE PAIN	MILD PAIN	NO PAIN	Chi Test	P Value	df	Table Value	Result
	0 -10 year	0	4	4	2	1.02-	0.565		10.500	Not Signifi- cant
	10 - 20 year	0	12	9	4					
Age in years	20 - 30 year	0	8	4	7	4.837	0.565	6	12.592	
	30 - 40 year	0	1	3	2					
	Male	0	11	10	7	0.161	0.022	2	5.001	Not Signifi-
Gender	Female	0	14	10	8	0.161	0.923	2	5.991	cant

	Single	0	3	3	2					
	Married	0	12	9	2					
Marital status	Widow/Widower	0	8	6	7	6.852	0.335	6	12.592	Not Signifi- cant
	Divorced/Separated	0	2	2	4					
	Hindu	0	5	3	4					
Religion	Christian	0	10	7	6	1.6	0.953	6	12.502	Not Signifi-
Kengion	Muslim	0	7	8	4	1.0	0.933	0	12.592	cant
	Others	0	3	2	1					
	Primary	0	7	4	3					
Educational	Secondary	0	11	12	5					Not Signifi-
status	Post graduate	0	5	1	6	7.788	0.254	6	12.592	cant
	Senior secondary	0	2	3	1					
	Rs 10,000-15,000	0	0	2	1				12.592	
Annual household	Rs 16,000 - 20,000	0	9	3	5	5.82	0.444	6		Not Signifi- cant
income	Rs 21,000-25,000	0	10	7	6					
	Rs 25,000 and above	0	6	8	3					
Amaa aflirrina	Urban	0	12	12	6	1.44	0.487	2	5.991	Not Signifi- cant
Area of living	Rural	0	13	8	9			2		
	Vegetarian	0	13	9	10		0.439	2	5.991	Not Signifi- cant
Dietary habit	Non-Vegetarian	0	12	11	5	1.647				
	Nuclear family	0	13	10	6					
Type of	Joint family 10 Habits	0	12	10	9	0.574	0.751	2	5.991	Not Signifi-
family	Alcoholism	0	0	0	0	0.571	0.751	_	3.551	cant
	Smoking	0	0	0	0					
	None	0	0	0	0					
	Alcoholism	0	9	5	4					N. G. 10
Habits	Smoking	0	11	10	6	1.389	0.846	4	9.488	Not Signifi- cant
	None	0	5	5	5					
Do you have	Yes	0	11	10	6	0.364	0.834	2	5.991	Not Signifi-
neck pain?	No	0	14	10	9					cant
How long have you	Less than a month	0	7	5	4					Not Signifi-
been suffering with neck	1-< 3 months	0	14	7	5	4.43	0.351	4	9.488	Not Signifi- cant
pain?	3-< 6 months	0	4	8	6					

What type of neck pain day on the pain of tight touch   0   11   5   4											
Not Significant		Tingling, Pricking	0	3	7	3					
How long do you work with computer repert day?   Singuilify and state days work with computer repert day?   Singuilify and state days work with computer repert day?   Singuilify and repert		Pain of tight touch	0	11	5	4	0 606	0.102	6	12 502	Not Signifi-
Not Significant   Not Signif		Hot or burning	0	9	3	6	8.080	0.192	0	12.392	cant
Solution   Significant   Solution   Soluti		Electrical shock	0	2	5	2					
Second price of the proper day   Second price of the pric		8 hours	0	7	7	4	0.627	0.06		0.400	Not Signifi-
How do you commute to school?   By bus   0   6   5   2	with comput-	8-10 hours	0	11	7	7	0.627	0.96	4	9.488	_
How do you commute to School?   By two-wheeler   0   6   6   7   5   5   307   0.505   6   12.592   Not Significant	er per day?	> 10 hours	0	7	6	4					
Section   Sect		By bus	0	6	5	2					
Section of the following position do you adapt regularly white computer of Prone   Do you utilize rest hours in between work?   Not Significant		By two-wheeler	0	6	6	7	5.307	0.505	6	12,592	
How many hours do you travel per day?		By car	0	10	4	3					cant
Not Significant   Not Significant   Not Significant		By train	0	3	5	3					
travel per day?    2-3 hours   0		1- 2 hours	0	10	3	5					
What is the duration of Cell phone use per day?   2-4 hours   0   9   4   2   3.119   0.538   4   9.488   Not Significant		2- 3 hours	0	11	7	5	6.828	0.145	4	9.488	
Must be per day?   4-6 hours   0   10   9   8     1-6 hours   0   10   9   8     1-6 hours   0   10   9   8     1-6 hours   0   6   7   5   1-6 hours   0   6   7   5   1-6 hours   0   6   7   5   1-6 hours   0   5   3   3   1-6 hours   1-6 hour		> 3 hours	0	4	10	5					
Which of the following position do you adapt regularly while working with computer?   Sitting	duration of	2- 4 hours	0	9	4	2	3.119	0.538	4	9.488	
Which of the following position do you adapt regularly while working with computer?   Supine   Do you utilize rest hours in between work?   No		4- 6 hours	0	10	9	8					Cuit
Supine   S		6-8 hours	0	6	7	5					
Semi fowler   O   T   T   O	following po- sition do you adapt regu- larly while	Supine	0	5	3	3	1.631	0.95	6	12.592	
Semi fowler   0   7   7   4		Sitting	0	7	7	6					
Do you utilize rest hours in between work?   Yes	computer.	Semi fowler	0	7	7	4					
Ves		Prone	0	6	3	2					
Do you take any self-care measures for neck pain?  No  0 11 13 6  0 13 12 8  0.31  0.857  2 5.991  Not Significant	lize rest hours in between	Yes	0	14	7	9	2.76	0.252	2	5.991	_
any self-care measures for neck pain?  Yes  0 13 12 8 0.31 0.857 2 5.991 Not Significant	work?	No	0	11	13	6					
	any self-care measures for	Yes	0	13	12	8	0.31	0.857	2	5.991	
	1	No	0	12	8	7					

# **DISCUSSION**

The findings of this study clearly highlight the positive impact of muscle strengthening exercises in reducing neck pain among adolescents. Before the intervention, the average pain score (pretest) was 5.57, indicating a moderate level of discomfort. After the exercise program, the average pain score (post-test) reduced significantly to 2.77. This shows a notable decrease of 2.80 points in the pain levels.

The consistent improvement in pain scores from pre-test to posttest, along with similar standard deviations, suggests that the exercises were not only effective for a few individuals but beneficial across the group. This supports the conclusion that a structured muscle strengthening exercise routine can play an important role in managing neck pain in adolescents.

These results align with previous evidence that physical activity, especially targeted strengthening exercises, helps relieve musculoskeletal pain and improves posture and flexibility, which are key contributors to neck discomfort in this age group—especially with increasing screen time and sedentary habits.

Therefore, incorporating such exercise programs into school routines or daily adolescent activity can be a practical, low-cost solution to manage and even prevent neck pain.

# **CONCLUSION**

This study was carried out to evaluate the effectiveness of muscle strengthening exercises in reducing neck pain among adolescent students. The findings suggest that such exercises can significantly help in managing neck discomfort, thereby improving students' well-being and daily functioning<sup>4</sup>.

Through this research, adolescent students gained valuable knowledge about how specific exercises can reduce and prevent neck pain, which may help avoid further complications in the future. By promoting awareness and encouraging healthy physical habits, the study contributes positively to the overall health education of young individuals.

An experimental research design was used, with a sample size of 60 adolescents selected through non-probability purposive sampling. Data was collected using structured questionnaires to gather demographic and clinical details, along with the Modified Wong-Baker FACES Pain Rating Scale (Wong, B., 1981) to assess pain levels. The tool's reliability and validity were confirmed by the research committee of the College of Nursing.

Although the study had limitations and delimitations in terms of design and scope, it provides meaningful insights for the nursing field. The results support integrating muscle strengthening exercises into school or community health programs for adolescents. Future research with larger sample sizes and varied settings is recommended to further validate and expand these findings.

**Acknowledgement:** I would like to express my sincere gratitude to all the study participants for generously providing their responses. I am also deeply thankful to everyone who supported me, directly or indirectly, throughout the course of this study. Special thanks to the Institutional Ethics Committee of Satya College of Nursing, Sisar Khas, Meham,

Rohtak, for their valuable guidance and approval, which were instrumental in the successful completion of this research.

**Declaration of Interest:** The author declares no personal or financial relationships with individuals or organizations that have influenced or could be perceived to have influenced the study's content and conclusions.

Ethics approval: Ethical clearance was obtained from the Institutional Ethics Committee. Prior permissions were secured from the heads of the local institutions, and informed consent was obtained from all participants. The confidentiality and anonymity of the subjects were maintained throughout the study.

**Funder Statement**: This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

# REFERENCES

- 1. Iqbal, Z.A., Alghadir, A.H., & Anwer, S. (2021). Efficacy of deep cervical flexor muscle training on neck pain, functional disability, and muscle endurance in school teachers: A clinical trial. Biomedical Research International, Article 7190808.
- 2. Baek, C.-Y., et al. (2025). Effect of digital health corrective posture exercise on head and shoulder posture in adolescents: A cluster RCT. Medicine, 104(12): e41893.
- Blomgren, J., Strandell, E., Jull, G., Vikman, I., & Röijezon, U. (2018). Effects of deep cervical flexor training on impaired physiological functions associated with chronic neck pain: A systematic review. BMC Musculoskeletal Disorders, 19.
- 4. [SciELO Review] (2025). Can electronic screens influence head and neck posture in adolescents? Journal Name, etc.
- RealSimple. (2025, May). Tech Neck Is a Real Pain—Here's How to Fix It.
- 6. Herald Sun. (2025, April). Do this 20-second exercise if you look at your phone a lot.

Pooja et al.
Studies in Nursing & Healthcare